



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



**Biomaterials** 

Biomaterials ■ (■■■) ■■−■■■

www.elsevier.com/locate/biomaterials

# The threshold at which substrate nanogroove dimensions may influence fibroblast alignment and adhesion

W.A. Loesberg<sup>a</sup>, J. te Riet<sup>b,d</sup>, F.C.M.J.M. van Delft<sup>c</sup>, P. Schön<sup>d</sup>, C.G. Figdor<sup>b</sup>, S. Speller<sup>d</sup>, J.J.W.A. van Loon<sup>e</sup>, X.F. Walboomers<sup>a</sup>, J.A. Jansen<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Department Periodontology & Biomaterials, THK 309, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup>Nijmegen Centre for Molecular Life Sciences, Department of Tumor Immunology, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>c</sup>Philips Research Europe, MiPlaza, High Tech Campus 4, 5656 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands

<sup>d</sup>Institute for Molecules and Materials, Department Scanning Probe Microscopy, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>e</sup>DESC OCB-ACTA, University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 23 February 2007; accepted 21 May 2007

#### Abstract

The differences in morphological behaviour between fibroblasts cultured on smooth and nanogrooved substrata (groove depth: 5–350 nm, width: 20–1000 nm) have been evaluated *in vitro*. The aim of the study was to clarify to what extent cell guidance occurs on increasingly smaller topographies. Pattern templates were made using electron beam lithography, and were subsequently replicated in polystyrene cell culture material using solvent casting. The replicates were investigated with atomic force microscopy (AFM). After seeding with fibroblasts, morphological characteristics were investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and light microscopy, in order to obtain qualitative and quantitative information on cell alignment. AFM revealed that the nanogroove/ridge widths were replicated perfectly, although at deeper levels the grooves became more concave. The smooth substrata had no distinguishable pattern other than a roughness amplitude of 1 nm. Interestingly, microscopy and image analysis showed that fibroblast after 4 h had adjusted their shape according to nanotopographical features down to cut-off values of 100 nm width and 75 nm depth. After 24 h culturing time, fibroblasts would even align themselves on groove depths as shallow as 35 nm. It appears depth is the most essential parameter in cellular alignment on groove patterns with a pitch ratio of 1:1. On the smooth substrata, cells always spread out in a random fashion. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) demonstrated that both main parameters, topography and culturing time, were significant. We conclude that fibroblast cells cultured on nanotopography experience a threshold feature size of 35 nm, below this value contact guidance does no longer exist.

Keywords: Nanotopography; Fibroblast; Surface texture; Cell morphology

# 1. Introduction

Biomaterials for tissue and cell engineering are successfully incorporated into neighbouring tissue when they not only match the tissue's mechanical properties, but also bring forth specific cell responses, thus controlling or guiding the tissue formation in contact with the biomaterial. The cellular response to a biomaterial may be enhanced by mimicking the surface topography formed by the extra cellular matrix (ECM) components of natural tissue [1]. This could be beneficiary in the field of tissue engineering, which aims at the (re)generation of new and functional tissues. These ECM components are of nanometre scale and a first step in this quest is the production of nano(metre scale) topography. Previous studies have already addressed cellular reactions to larger micrometer scale topography. Predominantly groove and ridge patterns were studied, on which cells responded by altering morphology, orientation, adhesion, and gene regulation. Nanogroove patterns of 10–100 nm thus far have not yet been studied, although Teixeira et al. [2] have studied cell behaviour on ridges 70 nm wide, with a pitch of 400 nm, however, and a depth of 600 nm, and found cellular

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +31243614920; fax: +31243614657. *E-mail address:* j.jansen@dent.umcn.nl (J.A. Jansen).

<sup>0142-9612/\$ -</sup> see front matter 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.05.030

alignment along these grooves. Previous *in vitro* research has investigated nanocolumns produced by colloidal lithography or polymer demixing which caused changes in cell morphology, filopodia production, migration, and cytokine release [3]. From these studies, it has become clear that topography in the nanometre scale may be of importance in cell guiding [1,3–5]. Despite the amount of control over the dimensions created by these techniques, however, they remain largely random. In addition, it is unknown to what extent cells will sense and adapt their morphology to an ordered topography if the dimensions become exceedingly small.

In this study, a nanogroove topography formed by electron beam lithography has been investigated. In order not to deviate too far from previously used patterns [6–9], 10 nanogroove/ridge patterns with a 1:1 pitch ratio have been selected and compared to smooth controls.

We hypothesised that, if the topography is small enough, a cellular "point break" is reached, where cells no longer display contact guidance along nanogroove patterns. In order to verify this hypothesis, cell responses to such nanotopography fields have been investigated from a morphology point of view, using light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and subsequent image analysis.

#### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Substrata

Silicon wafers, 15 cm (6 in) across, were spin coated with hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) solutions in methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) (FOx-12, Dow Corning Corp., Midland, MI, USA) on a Karl Suss spinner at

Table 1 Feature dimensions of topographically patterned substrata

1000 rpm during 10 s with closed lid, resulting in 100 nm thick HSQ layers. The wafers were exposed in a JEOL Electron Beam Pattern Generator (JBX-9300FS) to a 100 kV beam with a 500 pA beam current (4 nm spot size) using a 4 nm beam step size. The field patterns consisted of squares of  $500 \times 500 \,\mu\text{m}^2$  containing 1:1 lines and spaces at various pitches. The wafers were developed by manual immersion at 20 °C in a 0.26 M tetra methyl ammonium hydroxide developer (TMA238WA), rinsed in 1:9 v:v TMA238WA:H<sub>2</sub>O, rinsed in demineralised water and blown dry with N<sub>2</sub> [10–12]. For obtaining higher master structures, the e-beam patterned HSQ was used as a mask in a Reactive Ion Etching (RIE) process for silicon using a CF<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma.

One 250 nm deep groove pattern, with a 2  $\mu$ m pitch, was made using a photolithographic technique and subsequent etching in a silicon wafer as described by Walboomers et al. [6]. Wafers with a smooth surface were used as controls. In all instances, the silicon wafers were used as template for the production of polystyrene substrata for cell culturing. Polystyrene was solvent cast in a manner described by Chesmel and Black [13]. The dimensions of all substrata are shown in Table 1. Polystyrene replicas were attached to 20 mm diameter cylinders with polystyrene–chloroform adhesive to create a cell culture dish. Shortly before use a radio frequency glow-discharge (RFGD) treatment using Argon was applied for 3 min at a pressure of  $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$  mbar (Harrick Scientific Corp., Ossining, NY, USA) and a power of 200 W in order to sterilise and promote cell attachment by improving the wettability of the substrata.

### 2.2. Cell culture

Rat dermal fibroblasts (RDF) were obtained from the ventral skin of male Wistar rats as described by Freshney [14]. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's MEM containing Earle's salts (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., Paisley, Scotland), L-glutamine, 10% FCS, gentamicin (50 µg/ml), in an incubator set at 37 °C with a humidified atmosphere. Cell passage 4–6th was used during the experiments. Onto the various substrata,  $3.5 \times 10^4$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> were seeded into the culture dishes.

For the analysis, after 4 or 24 h, the cell layers were washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and prepared for further analysis immediately after retrieval.

Field	Pitch (nm, ratio 1:1)	Depth (nm + SE)	Roughness (nm+SE)
A	1000	$37.8 \pm 0.6$	17.3±0.2
В	600	$37.3 \pm 0.7$	$16.3 \pm 0.1$
С	400	$34.6 \pm 1.4$	$14.9\pm0.6$
D	300	$33.8 \pm 1.6$	$13.5\pm0.1$
Е	200	$30.6 \pm 1.1$	$11.6\pm0.1$
F	160	$30.5 \pm 1.1$	$9.4\pm0.1$
G	100	$17.9 \pm 1.0$	$6.7 \pm 0.4$
Н	80	$11.0 \pm 0.6$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$
Ι	60	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	$4.5 \pm 0.3$
J	40	$4.4 \pm 0.5$	$3.6\pm0.1$
Κ	1000	$153.3 \pm 2.7$	$69.1 \pm 1.0$
L	600	$158.0 \pm 3.2$	$64.4\pm0.7$
М	400	$149.2 \pm 1.2$	$53.9 \pm 1.8$
Ν	300	$119.9 \pm 2.6$	$36.7 \pm 1.3$
0	200	$77.4 \pm 4.1$	$22.0\pm0.5$
Р	160	$51.9 \pm 3.4$	$15.3\pm0.3$
Q	100	$17.2 \pm 3.5$	$9.2 \pm 0.7$
R	80	$15.3 \pm 1.9$	$8.7 \pm 0.9$
S	60	$11.6 \pm 1.1$	$8.3\pm0.1$
Т	40	$10.9 \pm 1.1$	$6.6 \pm 0.4$
μm-Groove	2000	$353.9 \pm 8.2$	$163.3 \pm 10.5$
Smooth	n/a	n/a	$1.24 \pm 0.14$

#### 2.3. Atomic force microscopy

Surface topography was quantitatively evaluated using a Dimension atomic force microscope (AFM; Dimension 3100, Veeco, Santa Barbara, CA). Tapping in ambient air was performed with 118  $\mu$ m long silicon cantilevers (NW-AR5T-NCHR, NanoWorld AG, Wetzlar, Germany) with average nominal resonant frequencies of 317 kHz and average nominal spring constants of 30 N/m. This type of AFM probe has a high aspect ratio (7:1) portion of the tip with a nominal length of >2  $\mu$ m and a half-cone angle of <5°. Nominal radius of curvature of the AFM probe tip was less than 10 nm. The probes are especially suited to characterize the manufactured nanogrooves.

Height images of each field/sample were captured in ambient air at 50% humidity at a tapping frequency of 266.4 kHz. The analysed field was scanned at a scan rate of 0.5 Hz and 512 scanning lines. Nanoscope imaging software (version 6.13r1, Veeco) was used to analyse the resulting images. Surface roughness (root mean squared (RMS), nm) and depth (nm) were obtained and averaged for three random fields per substrate.

#### 2.4. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

SEM graphs of the HSQ master structures were made using a Philips XL40 FEG-SEM. To assess overall morphology of the fibroblasts, SEM was also performed. Cells were rinsed, fixed for 5 min in 2% glutaraldehyde, followed by 5 min in 0.1 M sodium-cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, and dried in tetramethylsilane to air. Specimens were sputter-coated with gold and examined with a Jeol 6310 SEM (Tokyo, Japan). Multiple micrographs were taken of cells on each nanopattern.

#### 2.5. Image analysis

For quantitative image analysis, samples were fixed in paraformal dehyde and stained with Methylene blue followed by examination with a Leica/Leitz DM RBE Microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) with magnification of  $20 \times .$ 

Methylene blue micrographs were analysed with Scion Image software (Beta Version 4.0.2, Scion Corp., Frederick, MY, USA). In several cases, due to low contrast between cell staining and background, the orientation was determined manually with a Falmouth Marine three-limb Course Protractor with a 5-min accuracy. The orientation of fibroblasts on the different fields and patterns was examined and photographed. The criteria for cell selection were (1) the cell is not in contact with other cells and (2) the cell is not in contact with the image perimeter. The maximum cell diameter was measured as the longest distance between two edges within the cell borders. The angle between this axis and the grooves (or an arbitrarily selected line for smooth surfaces) was termed the orientation angle. If the average angle was 45° or more, cells were supposed to lie in a random orientation. Cell extensions like filopodia, which could confound the alignment measurement, were not included when assessing the cell orientation. For statistic analysis, cells oriented at  $<10^{\circ}$  from the groove direction were considered to be aligned [15,16]. The number of cells that were measured per sample group ranged from 24 to 290.

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Data acquired from the micrographs of cell alignment were analysed using SPSS for Windows (Release 12.0.1, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). The effects of, and the interaction between both time and surface were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), including a modified least significant difference (Bonferroni) multiple range test to detect significant differences between two distinct groups. Probability (*p*) value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered to be significant.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Atomic force microscopy

In Table 1, the dimension, depth, and roughness as measured by AFM of the patterns in all polystyrene duplicates are given. The nano fields are designated A till T, while the microgrooved and smooth surfaces are designated by their own names. Fig. 1 shows a number of representative images. What can be seen in these images is, that although groove/ridge widths were fairly preserved at the top, at deeper levels the grooves became concave or even V-shaped. The smooth sample has no distinguishable pattern other than a RMS roughness of  $1.2\pm0.1$  nm, occasionally a spike is present on the surface, probably the result of blemishes on the original wafer.

#### 3.2. Scanning electron microscopy

Fig. 2 shows a number of representative SEM graphs of the HSO on silicon template structures as made by means of electron beam lithography (and Reactive Ion Ethching). The various nanotopographies were accurately replicated in the polystyrene substrata. When observing cell morphology, fibroblasts cultured on smooth substrata displayed a cell spreading which is considered random (Fig. 3A), while an orientation along the groove direction was observed when cells are cultured on micro-groove substrata (Fig. 3B). With decreasing pitch visual inspection made it clear that alignment was reduced. From field K to N, alignment of cells was still observed (Fig. 3C), on fields O till Q, however, cellular orientation was observed only rarely. Fields with the smallest pitch values (R, S, and T) resulted in cell morphology undistinguishable from the cells growing on the planar surface surrounding the textured fields, or the smooth control samples (Fig. 3D). Fibroblast alignment on fields A to J was virtually nonexistent as opposed to, fields with the deeper groove depth (K, etcetera) that did elicit alignment. Interestingly, time had a beneficiary effect on cellular alignment; in all cases increased culture times (24 h vs. 4 h) lead to an increase in orientation and/or more fields triggering alignment on the cell population (Field A in Fig. 3E and F).

## 3.3. Image analysis

Image analysis and subsequent statistical analysis performed confirmed that topography and culturing time were both significant factors in eliciting cellular orientation. Fig. 4 lists the various patterns and the mean angle of orientation they enticed to the fibroblasts. To designate the turning point after which alignment does not occur anymore, polynomic trend lines were drawn in the figures and the steepest parts were calculated. Fig. 4 presents these trend lines and illustrates the turning point between 23° and 31°.

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

W.A. Loesberg et al. / Biomaterials I (IIII) III-III



Fig. 1. AFM graphs of topographies and height profiles of smooth (A), microgrooved (B), and nanogrooved substrates fields K and P (C and D, respectively).



Fig. 2. SEM micrographs of templates made by electron beam lithography: (A) Field H: 40 nm 1:1 lines and spaces in HSQ on silicon wafer. (B) Field I: 30 nm 1:1 lines and spaces in HSQ on silicon wafer and by electron beam lithography and reactive ion etching. (C) Field P: 80 nm 1:1 lines and spaces in HSQ/silicon on silicon wafer.

Regarding topography: RDFs cultured on smooth substrata displayed a random cell spreading (average orientation angle around  $45^{\circ}$ ), while those cultured on the 1 µm wide groove pattern showed a clear alignment

along the grooves for 82% of the cells. Nanopatterns with depth 80–150 nm also resulted in cellular orientation on fields with larger pitch values (fields K, L, M, and N). Nanopatterns with depths of 35 nm did not result in orientation of the fibroblasts at 4 h; however, when cultured for a prolonged period of time, the fields A and B did elicit cell alignment. Time played a key part in cell response towards the grooves; 24 h groups showed improved alignment compared to 4 h samples. As mentioned earlier, analysis of cells cultured on the deeper grooved nanopattern for 24 h showed an orientation trend, which gradually decreased with decreasing pitch width. After 24 h of culturing, the deep nanopattern resulted in enhanced alignment with the cut-off at 100 nm (Field O), after which cells appeared not to be aligned anymore.

# 4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to understand the morphological cell response of fibroblasts *in vitro*, particularly their orientation along a nanogroove pattern. Fibroblasts were cultured on polystyrene substrata, both smooth and grooved. In the latter case, both width and depth of the grooves were varied. The patterns were characterized and cell behaviour was analysed using microscopic techniques. From our data it can be concluded that RDFs adjust their shape according to nanotopographical features down to a cut-off value of 100 nm width and a depth of 70 nm, which is a novel finding. Given sufficient culturing time, fibroblasts will even align themselves on groove depths as shallow as 35 nm, provided that ample ridge-surface (150 nm wide ridges) is available to the cells. It appears depth is the most essential parameter in cellular alignment on groove patterns with a pitch ratio of 1:1.

In order to obtain nanogroove patterns, electron beam lithography and RIE have been employed. In the latter technique, the phenomenon of diffusion limitation plays a role, especially in smaller grooves; the chemical reactants will insufficiently reach the bottom of the proposed design of the grooves, resulting in shallower depths or grooves becoming more concave. Simply increasing the etching time in RIE may result in the desired depth locally. However, this would lead to the top edges of the ridges enduring more etching, resulting in a reduced sharpness and somewhat convex and concave profiles of, respectively, the wafer ridges and the ensuing polystyrene duplicates. The characteristics of the actual wafers were not the focus of our study, as our main interest is in the substrata onto which the cells are cultured. In addition, polystyrene casting could be influenced by capillary forces elicited by the nanogrooves which may affect the reproduction accuracy, although literature data concerning imprint lithography techniques suggest that 20 nm details can easily be accomplished when pressing a mould into polymers [17,18].

Another possible explanation for the concave appearance of the grooves, from fields E and O onwards, is the

Please cite this article as: Loesberg WA, et al. The threshold at which substrate nanogroove dimensions may influence fibroblast alignment and adhesion. Biomaterials (2007), doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.05.030

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

W.A. Loesberg et al. / Biomaterials & (\*\*\*\*)



Fig. 3. SEM micrographs of RDFs cultured under various conditions. (A) Smooth substratum with cells in a random fashion, (B) 1  $\mu$ m wide (350 nm deep) grooved substratum displaying aligned cells. (C) Field N (width 150 nm, depth 120 nm) still elicits cellular alignment to a majority of the cells compared to (D). Field R (width 40 nm, depth 15 nm) on which cells lay randomly spread. (E) Field A (width 500 nm, depth 35 nm) after 4 h of culturing and field A after 24 h of culturing (F). All micrographs were taken from 24 h samples, unless otherwise stated.

intrinsic limitation of AFM measurements related to tip convolution. Also, this phenomenon can have an effect on the reliability of the depth measurement. In order to minimise these effects during our AFM measurement, a high aspect ratio tip especially designed for scanning grooves was used.

SEM, cell staining, and subsequent image analysis all confirmed that fibroblasts were oriented on nanogrooved surfaces. Because the pitch dimensions used on both nanopattern wafers were equal, the difference in rate of cellular orientation was predominantly determined by the groove depth. These results are in accordance with work of Clark et al. [15,16] on micrometric textures. They concluded that groove depth is much more important in alignment of cells than the spacing of the grooves. Even when nanoscale patterns were used, an increase in groove depth led to better orientation [19]. Teixeira et al. [2], who cultured epithelial cells on patterned substrata showed an approximate 35% of cells aligned; this value could be the

result of shallow ridge width (70 nm, pitch 400 nm) with appropriate depth (600 nm). However, it could also be that cell orientation is the result of both (or more) parameters. Current e-beam lithography permits the fabrication of large areas of features comparable in size to those found in fibrillar ECM. Individual collagen fibrils have diameters that are commonly in the range 20–100 nm although they often form larger aggregates [19,20]. This study shows that fibroblast cells display meagre alignment on fields with ridge/groove widths of 100 nm or less. So, it is possibly a combination of factors that lead to cell guidance by the environment (be it substrata or tissue) rather than simply one parameter.

Similar to Teixeira's results and our own work [9], in this study it was observed that cells extend themselves while probing the substrata, since the grooves patterns are too narrow for the cells to get into contact with the bottom. The cellular extensions, probing the substrate surface, only found the ridges, resulting in extension of the cellular body

W.A. Loesberg et al. / Biomaterials I (IIII) III-III



Fig. 4. The mean angle and standard error of the mean showing the distribution of cellular orientation divided over time. (A) Cellular orientation after 4 h culture shows alignment for the groove pattern and fields K and L. All other surfaces, smooth pattern and fields with small groove dimensions do not elicit orientation at this point. (B) After 24 h cell alignment has appeared or improved on the several patterns and fields. Polynomic trend line in black with the turning point in red.  $Gr = 1 \mu m$  groove pattern, Sm = smooth pattern, nanometric fields A through T (see also Table 1).

along these ridges. Early responses of fibroblasts growing on textured substrata have been described before [21]; and cell orientation starts with the cell exploring the surroundings with the appearance of membrane extensions. Connective tissue cells need ECM in order to survive; since none is present, the aforementioned extensions are produced in all directions. Within hours, fitting anchor points are found and focal adhesion contacts are established with deposited ECM material, and the cell flattens and spreads, followed by the formation of filaments in the longitudinal direction [22,23]. This study showed it was clear that cell alignment occurred immediately on Fields K, L, and 1 µm grooves during cell spreading and in accordance with the grooves. An alternative view concerning cell guidance on such small features is that not the increasing amount of ECM proteins with time, but the lag time between guidance on a small scale (filopodia, lamellapodia) and the influence on the entire cell might perhaps explain the increase in orientation [24,25].

As explained earlier, having the ability to control cell behaviour is of great advantage in tissue engineering. And topography is just one method of gaining control. It is now clear that cells as a whole respond strongly to structured nanotopography to a cut-off value of 35 nm. However, as Dalby et al. [25] pointed out for filopodia and to some extent lamellapodia, this value may be as low as 10 nm when random distributed "nano islands" are applied to induce interaction.

By using a pattern design which surface is large enough to extract quantitative data, future research will, besides visualisation of cell cytoskeleton components and quantification of the cell's responses on a molecular level, look at the interaction of these groove dimensions and a dynamic force, like mechanical strain or fluid flow on cell conduct.

8

# 5. Conclusion

Since e-beam lithography is able to generate diverse patterns, a new approach was made possible to conduct research on cell behaviour on a wide variety of fields. This in turn allowed us to ascertain the cellular divide as presented in this study. The gradual decrease in dimension values allowed us to investigate in more detail the fibroblasts response towards topography. It is proposed that criteria concerning cellular orientation go beyond an arbitrary value, since those values are derived from measurements on specified topographies. By approaching natural dimensions these theoretical standards are no longer valid. Cellular alignment is triggered by a combination of ridge width and groove depth and most likely by other external (dynamic) factors as well, such as mechanical stress or compression. In this static study, design groove depths below 35 nm or ridge widths smaller than 100 nm do not result in fibroblast alignment.

It is concluded that fibroblast cells, cultured upon increasingly smaller nanoscale topography, experience, in accordance with our hypothesis, a decisive point where they no longer demonstrate contact guidance. This threshold seems to be at a 35 nm for whole cell alignment.

# Acknowledgements

Dr. F.C. van den Heuvel performed Reactive Ion Etching of the master structures at the Philips Research MiPlaza facilities in Eindhoven. Scanning electron microscopy on fibroblast morphology was performed at the Microscopic Imaging Centre (MIC) of the Nijmegen Centre for Molecular Life Sciences (NCMLS), the Netherlands.

This research was supported by the Microgravity Research Program of NWO-Space Research Organization Netherlands (SRON Grant # MG-057 and MG-063) and NanoNed, the Dutch Nanotechnology Programme of the Ministry of Economic Affairs (Grant # BSIK-03006).

# References

- Miller DC, Thapa A, Haberstroh KM, Webster TJ. Endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cell function on poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) with nano-structured surface features. Biomaterials 2004;25:53–61.
- [2] Teixeira AI, Abrams GA, Bertics PJ, Murphy CJ, Nealey PF. Epithelial contact guidance on well-defined micro- and nanostructured substrates. J Cell Sci 2003;116:1881–92.
- [3] Dalby MJ, Riehle MO, Sutherland DS, Agheli H, Curtis AS. Morphological and microarray analysis of human fibroblasts cultured on nanocolumns produced by colloidal lithography. Eur Cell Mater 2005;9:1–8 discussion 8.
- [4] Dalby MJ, Riehle MO, Johnstone HJ, Affrossman S, Curtis AS. Polymer-demixed nanotopography: control of fibroblast spreading and proliferation. Tissue Eng 2002;8:1099–108.

- [5] Dalby MJ, Riehle MO, Sutherland DS, Agheli H, Curtis AS. Use of nanotopography to study mechanotransduction in fibroblasts methods and perspectives. Eur J Cell Biol 2004;83:159–69.
- [6] Walboomers XF, Croes HJ, Ginsel LA, Jansen JA. Growth behavior of fibroblasts on microgrooved polystyrene. Biomaterials 1998;19: 1861–8.
- [7] den Braber ET, de Ruijter JE, Smits HT, Ginsel LA, von Recum AF, Jansen JA. Quantitative analysis of cell proliferation and orientation on substrata with uniform parallel surface micro-grooves. Biomaterials 1996;17:1093–9.
- [8] Brunette DM, Chehroudi B. The effects of the surface topography of micromachined titanium substrata on cell behavior in vitro and in vivo. J Biomech Eng 1999;121:49–57.
- [9] Loesberg WA, Walboomers XF, van Loon JJ, Jansen JA. The effect of combined cyclic mechanical stretching and microgrooved surface topography on the behavior of fibroblasts. J Biomed Mater Res A 2005;75:723–32.
- [10] Van Delft FCMJM, Wterings JP, Van Langen-Suurling AK, Romijn H. Hydrogen silsesquioxane/novolak bilayer resist for high aspect ratio nanoscale electron-beam lithgraphy. J Vac Sci Technol B 2000;18:3419–23.
- [11] Van Delft FCMJM, Van Den Heuvel FC, Kuiper AET, Thüne PC, Niemantsverdriet JW. Micro-contact printing on oxide surfaces for model catalysts using e-beam written masters in hydrogen silsesquioxane. Microelectron Eng 2004;73–74:202–8.
- [12] Van Delft FCMJM. Delay-time and aging effects on contrast and sensitivity of hydrogen silsesquioxane. J Vac Sci Technol B 2002;20:2932–6.
- [13] Chesmel KD, Black J. Cellular responses to chemical and morphologic aspects of biomaterial surfaces. I. A novel in vitro model system. J Biomed Mater Res 1995;29:1089–99.
- [14] Freshney RI. Culture of animal cells: a multimedia guide. Chichester: Wiley; 1999.
- [15] Clark P, Connolly P, Curtis AS, Dow JA, Wilkinson CD. Topographical control of cell behaviour: II. Multiple grooved substrata. Development 1990;108:635–44.
- [16] Clark P, Connolly P, Curtis AS, Dow JA, Wilkinson CD. Topographical control of cell behaviour. I. Simple step cues. Development 1987;99:439–48.
- [17] Haisma J, Verheijen M, van dem Heuvel CA, van den Berg J. Mold assisted nanolithography: a process for reliable pattern replication. J Vac Sci Technol B 1996;14:4124–8.
- [18] Chou SY, Krauss PR, Renstrom PJ. Nanoimprint lithography. J Vac Sci Technol B 1996;14:4129–33.
- [19] Clark P, Connolly P, Curtis AS, Dow JA, Wilkinson CD. Cell guidance by ultrafine topography in vitro. J Cell Sci 1991;99(Part 1):73–7.
- [20] Dunn GA, Heath JP. A new hypothesis of contact guidance in tissue cells. Exp Cell Res 1976;101:1–14.
- [21] Walboomers XF, Ginsel LA, Jansen JA. Early spreading events of fibroblasts on microgrooved substrates. J Biomed Mater Res 2000;51: 529–34.
- [22] Hynes RO, Destree AT. Relationships between fibronectin (LETS protein) and actin. Cell 1978;15:875–86.
- [23] Hynes RO. Cell adhesion: old and new questions. Trends Cell Biol 1999;9:M33–7.
- [24] Dalby MJ, Gadegaard N, Riehle MO, Wilkinson CD, Curtis AS. Investigating filopodia sensing using arrays of defined nano-pits down to 35 nm diameter in size. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2004;36: 2005–15.
- [25] Dalby MJ, Riehle MO, Johnstone H, Affrossman S, Curtis AS. Investigating the limits of filopodial sensing: a brief report using SEM to image the interaction between 10 nm high nano-topography and fibroblast filopodia. Cell Biol Int 2004;28:229–36.